IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1055 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.971 OF 2006

Suresh Laxman Gogate ...Applicant
vs.
State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr.Kapil Khan for the Applicant
Mr.J.C.Satpute for the Respondent

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.
DATE : OCTOBER 19,2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard Advocates appearing for the parties. The Applicant has been convicted for offences punishable under sections 13 (1) (d) (i) and 13(1) (d) (ii) read with section13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and section 120-B read with section 406 of the Indian Penal Code. The substantive sentence is R.I. for one year.

2. The Applicant was on bail throughout the trial. Considering the fact that the substantive sentence is only of one year, the Applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail.

3. Application is disposed of by passing the following order:

i) The Applicant shall be enlarged on same bail on which he was enlarged during the pendency of trial subject to condition of filing fresh bail bonds.

ii) The Applicant will report to the office of Central Bureau of Investigation, A.C.B. Mumbai once in every calendar month

till the final disposal of the Appeal.

iii) Time of four months is granted to deposit the fine amount.

JUDGE